UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,510	07/13/2001	Dan Vassilovski	010275	3380
	7590 12/14/200 INCORPORATED	007	EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		DUONG, DUC T	
SAN DIEGO, O	CA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

		- \$				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	09/905,510	VASSILOVSKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duc T. Duong	2619				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Section 2	eptember 2007.					
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8,11,15,28,33,37,58 and 59</u> is/are p	pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28,33,58 and 59</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8,11,15 and 37</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>6-8,11,15 and 37</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		∂(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applic	cation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	eived.				
AM-28-02-024(2)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	• 🚍	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 09/905,510 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15 and 37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 15 and 58 are objected to because of the following informalities: the word IP in lines 5 and 4 respectively is misspelled, wherein it should been SIP. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 6-8, 11, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6-8 and 11 recite the limitation "the SIP message" in lines 5-6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 37 recites the limitation "telephone power" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/905,510

Art Unit: 2619

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 15 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maggenti et al (US Patent 6,477,150 B1) in view of Love et al (US Patent 6,058,107).

Regarding to claims 15 and 37, Maggenti discloses a method for facilitating communication between a wireless communication device 202 transmitting information using an over-the-air (OTA) protocol and a telephony infrastructure 218 using IP protocol to communicate information within the infrastructure, comprising adding data in at least one SIP message header representing at least one OTA network parameter, wherein the parameter is related to the OTA protocol but not to voice over IP (VOIP) protocol used within the infrastructure (col. 25 lines 40-42; noted the parameters are explicitly CDMA related and not VOIP related), wherein the parameter includes a station classmark (0x7BCF or 0xE289).

Maggenti fails to teach the classmark represents at least the wireless communication device power.

However, Love discloses a method for power control using OTA frames 800/810 that includes a classmark 801/811 to updates the mobile transmit power (fig. 8 col. 14 lines 50-63).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ a classmark that represents the wireless communication device power at taught by Love

Application/Control Number: 09/905,510 Page 4

Art Unit: 2619

into Maggenti's system for maintaining sufficient communication link quality and information throughput while using minimum power levels to maximize system capacity.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 28, 33, 58, and 59 are allowed.

8. Claims 6-8 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-3122. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 AM-6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

00

WING CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER